

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Pat nt and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/118,833	07/20/98	NISHI		T	0965-0232P-8	
_			コ	EXAMINER		
002292 BIRCH STEWAF	RT KOLASCH 8	IM51/0228 / BIRCH		CREPEAUL I		
	JSE ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 500 EA FALLS CHURCH				1745 DATE MAILED	:	
					02/28/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/118,833	NISHI ET AL.					
•	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jonathan S. Crepeau	1745					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the co	orrespond nce address					
THE REPLY FILED 12 February 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 10 October 2000. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.							
3. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter. (see Note	below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
6.☑ The a)☑ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	r reconsideration has been cons ee Continuation Sheet	idered but does NOT place the					
7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
8. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>4-17</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
9. The proposed drawing correction filed on a) has b) has not been approved by the Examiner.							
10. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
11. Other:							





Continuation of 6. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the previous Advisory Action (paper #12, mailed 9/19/00). Independent claims 6 and 8 do not recite the process limitations that allegedly produce the unexpected results of the invention, therefore the declaration and arguments relating to these limitations are not commensurate with these claims. Results in Table on page 4 of declaration are only shown for a prior art composition of lanthanum chromite. However, lanthanum chromite is not germane to the outstanding rejection. A comparison between the process of Soma and the process of the present invention should be made using the materials relied upon in Soma. The relevant materials are outlined at paragraph 5 of the Final Rejection (paper #8, mailed 4/10/00).

STEPHEN KALAFUT PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP / 700